Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/900,336	HUDSON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	EBENEZER SACKEY	1626
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>09/900,336</u>		
(1) <u>EBENEZER SACKEY</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>KRISTIN J. FROST</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 23 December 2003	Time: <u>2.30 P.M.</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		•
Rejection(s) discussed: NONE		
Claims discussed: claim 35		
Prior art documents discussed: NONE		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The examiner suggested replacing "and all" (page 4 of 8) from the claim language withor		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
Thench Saclay		
Examiner/SPE Signatyre) (Applicant/A	Applicant's Representative Sign	nature – if appropriate)